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## Tracing paths from the camera

```
renderImage()
{
    for all pixels
    {
        Color pixelColor = (0,0,0);
        for k = 1 to N
        {
        \omega
        pixelColor += getLi(camPos, }\mp@subsup{\omega}{\textrm{k}}{}\mathrm{ )
        }
        pixelColor /= N;
        writePixel(pixelColor);
    }
}

\section*{Path tracing, v. 0.1 (recursive form)}
getLi ( \(\mathrm{x}, \omega\) ):
\(y=\) nearestIntersect \((x, \omega)\)
return
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{getLe}(y,-\omega)+ & / / \text { emitted radiance } \\
\operatorname{getLr}(y,-\omega) & / / \text { reflected radiance }
\end{array}
\]
\(\operatorname{getLr}\left(\mathrm{x}, \omega_{\text {inc }}\right):\)
\(\left[\omega_{\text {gen }}, \operatorname{pdf}_{\text {gen }}\right]=\operatorname{genRndDirBrdfIs}\left(\omega_{\text {inc }}, \operatorname{normal}(x)\right)\)

\section*{return}
\[
1 / \operatorname{pdf}_{\mathrm{gen}} * \operatorname{getLi}\left(\mathrm{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{gen}}\right) * \operatorname{brdf}\left(\mathrm{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{inc}}, \omega_{\mathrm{gen}}\right) * \operatorname{dot}\left(\operatorname{normal}(\mathrm{x}), \omega_{\mathrm{gen}}\right)
\]

\section*{Path Tracing - Loop version}
```

getLi(x, wo)
{
Spectrum throughput = (1,1,1)
Spectrum accum = (0,0,0)
while(1)
{
hit = nearestIntersect(x, wo)
if no intersection
return accum + throughput * bgRadiance (x, wo)
if isOnLightSource(hit)
accum += thrput * getLe(hit.pos, -wo)
[wi, pdf(wi)] := SampleDir(hit)
Spectrum tputUpdate = 1/pdf(wi) * fr(hit.pos, wi, -wo) * dot(hit.n, wi)
survivalProb = min(1, tputUpdate.maxComponent)
if rand() < survivalProb // russian roulette - survive (reflect)
thrput *= tputUpdate / survivalProb
x := hit.pos
wo := wi
else // terminate path
break;
}
return accum;
}

## Path termination - Russian roulette

```
getLi(x, wo)
{
    Spectrum throughput = (1,1,1)
    Spectrum accum = (0,0,0)
    while(1)
    {
        hit = nearestIntersect(x, wo)
        if no intersection
        return accum + throughput * bgRadiance (x, wo)
            if isOnLightSource(hit)
            accum += thrput * getLe(hit.pos, -wo)
        [wi, pdf(wi)] := SampleDir(hit)
        Spectrum tputUpdate = 1/pdf(wi) * fr(hit.pos, wi, -wo) * dot(hit.n, wi)
        survivalProb = min(1, tputUpdate.maxComponent)
        if rand() < survivalProb // russian roulette - survive (reflect)
            thrput *= tputUpdate / survivalProb
            x := hit.pos
            wo := wi
        else // terminate path
        break;
    }
    return accum;
}

\section*{Terminating paths - Russian roulette}
- Continue the path with probability \(q\)
- Multiply weight (throughput) of surviving paths by 1 / \(q\)
\[
Z=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
Y / q & \text { if } \xi<q \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\]
- RR is unbiased!
\[
E[Z]=\frac{E[Y]}{q} \cdot q+0 \cdot \frac{1}{q-1}=E[Y]
\]

\section*{Survival probability - How to set it?}
- It makes sense to use the surface reflectivity \(\rho\) as the survival probability
- If the surface reflects only \(30 \%\) of energy, we continue with the probability of \(30 \%\). That's in line with what happens in reality.
- What if we cannot calculate \(\rho\) ? Then there's a convenient alternative, which in fact works even better:
1. First sample a random direction \(\omega_{i}\) according to \(p\left(\omega_{i}\right)\)
2. Use the sampled \(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}\) it to calculate the survival probability as
\[
q_{\text {survival }}=\min \left\{1, \frac{f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}}}{p\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}\right\}
\]

\section*{Adjoint-drive RR and splitting}

Plain path tracing
RMSE: \(5.22 \times 10^{-3}\)


Our ADRRS in path tracing
RMSE: \(4.92 \times 10^{-3}\)
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\section*{Direction sampling}
```

getLi(x, wo)
{
Spectrum throughput = (1,1,1)
Spectrum accum = (0,0,0)
while(1)
{
hit = nearestIntersect(x, wo)
if no intersection
return accum + throughput * bgRadiance (x, wo)
if isOnLightSource(hit)
accum += thrput * getLe(hit.pos, -wo)
[wi, pdf(wi)] := SampleDir(hit)
Spectrum tputUpdate = 1/pdf(wi) * fr(hit.pos, wi, -wo) * dot(hit.n, wi)
survivalProb = min(1, tputUpdate.maxComponent)
if rand() < survivalProb // russian roulette - survive (reflect)
thrput *= tputUpdate / survivalProb
x := hit.pos
wo := wi
else // terminate path
break;
}
return accum;
}

## Direction sampling

- We usually sample the direction $\omega_{\mathrm{i}}$ from a pdf similar to

$$
f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}, \omega_{0}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

- Ideally, we would want to sample proportionally to the integrand itself

$$
L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right) f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}, \omega_{0}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}},
$$

but this is difficult, because we do not know $L_{\mathrm{i}}$ upfront. With some precomputation, it is possible to use a rough estimate of $L_{\mathrm{i}}$ for sampling [Jensen 95, Vorba et al. 2014], cf. "guiding".

## BRDF importance sampling

- Let's see what happens when the pdf is exactly proportional to $f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}, \omega_{0}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ ?

$$
p\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \propto f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \cdot \cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

- Normalization (recall that a pdf must integrate to 1)

$$
p\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\frac{f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \cdot \cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}}}{\int_{H(\mathbf{x})} f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \cdot \cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{\mathrm{i}}}
$$

The normalization factor is nothing but the reflectance $\rho$

## BRDF IS in a path tracer

- Throughput update for a general pdf

```
thrput *= fr(.) * dot(.) / ( p * p(wi) )
```

- A pdf that is exactly proportional to BRDF * cos keeps the throughput constant because the different terms cancel out!

$$
p\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=f_{r}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \cdot \cos \theta_{i} / \rho
$$

$$
\text { thrput *= } 1
$$

- Physicists and nuclear engineers call this the "analog" simulation, because this is how real particles behave.


## Path guiding
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## Direct illumination calculation in a path tracer

## Direct illumination: Two strategies

- At each path vertex $\mathbf{x}$, we are calculating direct illumination
- i.e. radiance reflected from a point $\mathbf{x}$ on a surface exclusively due to the light coming directly from the sources
- Two sampling strategies

1. BRDF-proportional sampling
2. Light source area sampling
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## The use of MIS in a path tracer

- For each path vertex:
- Generate an explicit shadow ray for the techniques $p_{b}$ (light source area sampling - a.k.a. "next event estimation")
- Secondary ray for technique $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (BRDF sampling)
- One ray can be shared for the calculation of both direct and indirect illumination
- But the MIS weight is - of course - applied only on the direct term (indirect illumination is added unweighted because there is no second technique to calculate it)


## Dealing with multiple light sources

- Option 1:

1. Loop over all sources and send a shadow ray to each one

- Option 2:

1. Choose one source at random (ideally with prob proportional to light contribution)
2. Sample illumination only on the chosen light, divide the result by the prob of picking that light

- (Scales better with many sources but has higher variance per path)
- Beware: The probability of choosing a light influences the sampling pds and therefore also the MIS weights.


## Learning the lights' contributions
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